

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/600,043	06/19/2003	Kiyong Choi	004735.P004	6395		
7590 07/14/2005 Jan Carol Little			EXAM	EXAMINER		
			SHINGLETON	SHINGLETON, MICHAEL B		
•	KOLOFF, TAYLOR & Z	AFMAN LLP				
Seventh Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
12400 Wilshire Boulevard			2817			
Los Angeles, C	A 90025-1026		DATE MAILED: 07/14/2009	DATE MAILED: 07/14/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Soylenf of	10/600,043	CHOI ET AL.	AN /			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	V.			
	Michael B. Shingleton	2817				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY		•	ress			
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely, the mailing date of this con O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Ju	<u>ine 2005</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This	• • •					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) $\boxtimes$ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4-12</u> is/are pending in the app	olication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1, 9-11</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,4-8 and 12</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		* *			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National S	Stage			
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
·						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/600,043

Art Unit: 2817

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Applicant's request for reconsideration of the finality of the rejection of the last Office action is persuasive and, therefore, the finality of that action is withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 4, 7, 8 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Afrashteh 5,426,641 (Afrashteh) in view of Ferris 4,591,774 (Ferris).

Figure 2 and the relevant text of Afrashteh disclose a method for operating a RF power amplifier 203. A digital signal 213 is applied to the RF power amplifier 203 via the elements 212 and 204. The term program is directed to "a plan or system under which action may be taken toward a goal" accordingly the programming a conduction angle is the action of achieving that goal. The gate bias control circuit 204 thereby programs the conduction angle of the RF power amplifier using the digital signal 213 or in other words this circuit provides for the programming a conduction angle to the RF power amplifier with the digital signal 213. Since no specific definition is provided by the applicant, the examiner must give the broadest reasonable interpretation to these terms (See MPEP 2111 and 2111.01). Also note that an analog information signal 201 is applied to the RF power amplifier as is clearly illustrated by Afrashteh. Also clearly the RF power amplifier 203 is operated at the conduction angle specified by the digital signal 213. The above arrangement clearly provides for a digital control function coupled to the RF power amplifier. Afrashteh is silent on the D/A converter as being composed of a plurality of inverters and specifically CMOS inverters.

Figure 5 and the relevant text of Ferris discloses a conventional D to A converter that includes a plurality of buffers. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have replaced the D to A converter of Afrashteh with one that includes a plurality of inverters because as the Afrashteh is silent on the exact structure of the D to A converter, one

Application/Control Number: 10/600,043

Art Unit: 2817

of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use any art-recognized equivalent D to A converter circuit such as the conventional D to A converter D to A converter as taught by Ferris.

Both Afrashteh and Ferris are silent on the specific structure of the inverters as shown as element 44 in Ferris. However, CMOS inverter structures are an art recognized equivalent and conventionally known inverter structure. CMOS means that there are both PMOS and NMOS transistors. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have replaced the inverter Afrashteh and Ferris with one that includes a plurality of inverters because as the Afrashteh and Ferris references are silent on the exact structure of the inverters, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use any art-recognized inverter structure including the conventional CMOS inverter.

Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Afrashteh 5,426,641 (Afrashteh) in view of Ferris 4,591,774 (Ferris) as applied to claims 2, 4, 7, 8 and 12 above, and further in view of Sowlati US2002/0196086 (Sowlati).

Afrashteh and Ferris as applied above in the rejection of claim 2, 4, 7 and 12 and the following: Afrashteh and Ferris are silent on the details of the RF power amplifier.

Self-biased cascode stage amplifiers are conventional in the art for use as RF power amplifiers. Sowlati shows various cascode stage self-biased power amplifiers in Figures 3a-c, 4a-b, 5a-b and Figure 6. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have replaced the RF power amplifier of Afrashteh with a self-biased cascode stage RF power amplifier because, as the Afrashteh reference is silent on the exact RF power amplifier stage employed one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use any art-recognized equivalent RF power amplifier stage therefore such as the conventional self-biased cascode differential stage RF power amplifier as shown by Sowlati.

Afrashteh is also silent on the employment of a driver stage prior to the RF power amplifier stage. This is common-place in the art so as to allow for signals of "smaller" magnitude to power the RF power amplifier.

Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a driver stage prior to the RF power amplifier stage so as to allow signals smaller than could power the RF amplifier by themselves to power the RF power amplifier as is conventionally known in the art.

Afrashteh and Sowlati are both silent on the forming of a cross-coupling the differential stage amplifier. However, cross-coupling in differential amplifier arrangements is conventional known so as to

Art Unit: 2817

improve linearization. Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have cross-coupled the arrangement of Afrashteh and Sowlati so as to improve linearization as is conventionally known in the art.

Claims 1, 9-11 are allowable over the prior art of record.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michael B. Shingleton whose telephone number is (571) 272-1770.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Pascal, can be reached on (571)272-1769. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306 and after July 15, 2005 the fax number will be 571-273-8300. Note that old fax number (703-872-9306) will be service until September 15, 2005.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

MBS June 27, 2005

> Michael B Shingleton Primary Examiner Group Art Unit 2817